



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/715,438	11/16/2000	Mehryar Khailili Garakani	2705-129	5707
20575	7590 11/12/2004		EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC 1030 SW MORRISON STREET			LEZAK, ARRIENNE M	
	O, OR 97205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			()				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	—				
	09/715,438	GARAKANI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Arrienne M. Lezak	2143					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-16 and 18-20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-16 and 18-20 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to		, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	-, , ,).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Idents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
C Detect - I Test - I Off							

Application/Control Number: 09/715,438 Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. Examiner notes that Claims 1, 15 & 19 have been substantively Amended, Claims 12 & 18 have been non-substantively Amended, Claims 4 & 17 have been cancelled and no Claims have been added. Claims not explicitly addressed herein are found to be addressed within prior Office Action dated 23 April 2004 as reiterated herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 8, 12 & 14-16 & 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent US 6,504,838 B1 to Kwan.
- 4. Regarding Claims 1, 8, 12, 15 and 19, Kwan discloses a method, apparatus and computer-readable medium for establishing a high-speed modem relay connection over a voice frame network between an originating modem with an associated calling-leg gateway and an answering modem with an associated called-leg gateway, (Col. 87, lines 1-22), the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2143

- first detecting a predefined modulated answer tone at a first voice frame network gateway corresponding with the answering modem, (Col. 66, lines 23 –67; Col. 67, lines 1-31; Col. 69, lines, 30-56; and Col. 87, lines 1-22);

Page 3

- second detecting a predefined digital code at a second voice frame network gateway corresponding with the originating modem, (Col. 66, lines 23 –67; Col. 67, lines 1-31; Col. 69, lines, 30-56; and Col. 87, lines 1-22);
- suppressing signal transmission between the originating modem,
 (gateway) and the answering modem, (gateway), (per pending Claim 4),
 (Col. 67, lines 7-31);
- at the second gateway detecting two additional predefined digital codes from the originating modem and completing local physical layer negotiation, (Col. 66, lines 23 –67; Col. 67, lines 1-31; Col. 69, lines, 30-56; and Col. 87, lines 1-22);
- at the first gateway transmitting at least two additional predefined digital codes to the answering modem and completing local physical layer negotiation, (Col. 66, lines 23 –67; Col. 67, lines 1-31; Col. 69, lines, 30-56; and Col. 87, lines 1-22); and
- enabling signal transmission between the originating modem and the answering modem, (Col. 67, lines 7-31);
- whereby the voice frame network connection is selectively automatically transitioned from voice mode to modem relay mode upon a determination

Art Unit: 2143

that the originating and the answering modem are both high-speed modems, (Col. 10, lines 4-24; Col. 71, lines 54-67; Col. 72, lines 1-67; Col. 73, lines 1-51; and Col. 67, lines 7-31).

Page 4

Therefore, this reference may reasonably be read to teach or describe every element or claim limitation of Claims 1, 8, 12, 15 and 19.

- 5. Regarding Claims 2, 3, 14, 16 and 20, Kwan discloses a method, apparatus and computer-readable medium for establishing a high-speed modem relay connection over a voice frame network wherein an amplitude-modulated answer (ANSam) tone is first detected at one of the gateways and a digital call menu (CM) is secondly detected at the other one of the gateways, (per pending Claims 2, 16 and 20), wherein the first gateway to perform said tone-detecting signaling to the other gateway that tone detection has occurred, (per pending Claims 3, 14 and 17), (Col. 69, lines 30-56). Therefore, this reference may reasonably be read to teach or describe every element or claim limitation of Claims 2, 3, 14, 16 and 20.
- 6. Regarding Claims 5 and 18, Kwan discloses a method, apparatus and computer-readable medium for establishing a high-speed modem relay connection over a voice frame network wherein negotiating includes: at the calling-leg gateway detecting two additional digital CM codes from the originating modem and completing local calling-leg physical layer negotiation, and at the called-leg gateway transmitting at least two additional digital CM codes to the answering modem and completing local called-leg physical layer negotiation, (Col. 69, lines 30-56 and Col. 72, lines 38-62). Therefore,

Application/Control Number: 09/715,438 Page 5

Art Unit: 2143

this reference may reasonably be read to teach or describe every element or claim limitation of Claims 5 and 18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 6, 7, 9-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over further consideration of US Patent US 6,504,838 B1 to Kwan. Kwan is relied upon for those teachings disclosed herein. As noted above, Kwan discloses a method, apparatus and computer-readable medium for establishing a high-speed modem relay connection over a voice frame network inclusive of voice compression, (Col. 8, lines 18-41), and echo cancellation, (Col. 10, lines 5-67). Kwan does not specifically disclose that after detecting the first tone and before detecting the second tone, enabled voice compression is disabled, (per pending Claims 6, 9, 11 and 13), and enabled echo cancellation is disabled, (per pending Claims 7, 10, 11 and 13), (Col. 8, lines 18-41 and Col. 10, lines 4-67).
- 9. To incorporate the disablement of voice compression and echo cancellation into Kwan's method, apparatus and computer-readable medium for establishing a high-speed modem relay connection over a voice frame would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by Applicant. The motivation to combine

Art Unit: 2143

is noted by Examiner in that a handshake, (as noted within Kwan), is not an analog to digital conversion, thus there is no noise. Without noise, there is no need for voice compression and echo cancellation, which would only serve to drop bits. Moreover, such disablement functionality is applied within Kwan in regards to the data pump as related to the reception/transmission of messages or fax data signals, (Fig. 21 and Col. 55, lines 52-55). Therefore, Claims 6, 7, 9-11 and 13 are unpatentable over further consideration of the teachings of Kwan.

Page 6

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed 23 July 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how reconsideration avoids such references or objections.
- 11. Regarding Applicant's assertion that Kwan does not teach signal suppression, Examiner respectfully disagrees citing Kwan, (Cols. 66-71 particularly Col. 67, lines 7-31), which discloses a data rate negotiation procedure, which procedure includes automatic data suppression during the negotiation process. Examiner further notes that the rate negotiation procedure taught by Kwan inherently includes all modern speeds for purposes of rate matching and suppression as necessary. Moreover, as Applicant notes within the "remarks" section, (p. 8/10) of the Amendment dated 23 July 2004,

Art Unit: 2143

Kwan teaches "one type of signal that indicates that the modems are high speed modems", it is clear that Kwan inherently detects high-speed modem signals, which signals, when processed during the rate negotiation procedure, would inherently be suppressed as necessary.

Page 7

- 12. In response to Applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "criteria to suppress via acquisition means") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Examiner further disagrees with Applicant's assertion that Kwan does not disclose voice compression, (Col. 8, lines 26-27), and Echo Cancellation, (Col. 10, lines 25).
- 13. Thus, as Examiner has completely addressed Applicant's amendment, and finding Applicant's arguments do not show how reconsideration avoids such references or objections, Examiner hereby maintains the original rejection of all claims in their entirety.
- 14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 15. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2143

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Arrienne M. Lezak whose telephone number is (703)-

305-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (703)-308-5221. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-305-3718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-

305-6121.

Arrienne M. Lezak

Page 8

Examiner

Art Unit 2143

AML

DAVIDWILEY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100